arXiv:1210.2060v2 [math.AG] 9 Oct 2012

ON THE BICANONICAL MAPS OF PRIMITIVE VARIETIES WITH $q(X) = dim(X)$: THE DEGREE AND THE EULER NUMBER

LEI ZHANG

Abstract. In this note we studied the primitive varieties of general type with $q(X) = dim(X)$ and non-birational bicanonical maps. Let X be such a variety. We bounded the degree of its bicanonical map (Theorem 4.8). If moreover the Albanese variety $Alb(X)$ is simple, we proved that the Euler number $\chi(\omega_X) = 1$, and $|2K_X|$ separates the points mapped to the same general point via the Albanese map (Theorem 1.1).

1. Introductions

Let X be a smooth complex projective variety of maximal Albanese dimension (m. A. d. for short) and of general type. Recall that $3K_X$ is generically very ample: the case when $\chi(\omega_X) > 0$ is proved in [CH2], and the case when $\chi(\omega_X) = 0$ is proved in [JMT]. It is interesting to consider the birationality of its bicanonical map. Here we recall the following results.

With the notations above, assume moreover that the bicanonical map of X is not birational.

I If X is a surface, then either X presents the standard case, i.e., has a fibration of genus 2, or
  (i) if $q(X) > 2$, then X is birationally equivalent to a theta divisor of a principal polarized Abelian variety (p.p.a.v. for short) of dimension 3 (cf. [CCM]);
  (ii) if $q(X) = 2$, then X is birational to a double cover over a simple Abelian surface A branched along a symmetric divisor $B \in |2\Theta|$ where (A, Θ) is a principal polarization (cf. [CCM], [CFM], [CM]).

II (i) If X is a primitive variety (cf. Def. 2.8) with $q(X) > dim(X)$, then then it is birational to a theta divisor of a p.p.a.v. (cf. [BLNP]).
  (ii) For general cases, $gv(\omega_X) \leq 1$ and the Albanese image is fibred by subvarieties of codimension at most 1 of an Abelian subvariety of $Alb(X)$ (cf. [Lah]).

We remark that

- About the bianonical map of a non-primitive variety, we know little except $II(ii)$. By generic vanishing theorem (cf. Theorem 2.5), a non-primitive variety has some natural irregular fibrations. Obviously, if X has a fibration with general fibers having non-birational bicanonical maps, then X has non-birational bicanonical map. If X has a fibration, we should consider the bicanonical maps of its general fibers first. Therefore, to study the bicanonical map, it is an important step to study the varieties having no "natural" fibrations, say, primitive varieties or those with simple Albanese varieties.

- $II(i)$ is a generalization of $I(i)$, and the method in [BLNP], as a generalization of the paracanonical system used in [CCM], is more general and algebraic.
- When studying the bicanonical map of a surface with $q(X) = 2$, combining the results of [CCM] and [CFM] shows that $p_g(X) < 3$, i.e., $p_g(X) = 2$ (equivalently $\chi(\omega_X) = 1$) if X does not present the standard case; and [CM] considered the case when $p_g(X) = q(X) = 2$.
- For primitive varieties, the case when $dim(X) = q(X)$ is still unknown, it is conjectured that when $Alb(X)$ is simple, X is birational to a double cover over $Alb(X)$ branched along a symmetric divisor $B \in |2\Theta|$ where (A, Θ) is a principal polarization (see also [Lah]).

In this paper, we will consider the case when $dim(X) = q(X)$, the main result is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 4.12, 4.14)**.** *Let X be a smooth complex projective variety of general type with $q(X) = dim(X)$ and maximal Albanese dimension. Suppose that its bicanonical map is not birational and that $Alb(X)$ is simple. Then $\chi(\omega_X) = 1$, and the linear system $|2K_X|$ separates the points mapped to the same general point via the Albanese map.*

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is for the definitions and technical results used in this paper. In Section 3, we studied a special map between two irregular varieties, and got a relation between their Euler numbers. And in Section 4, we studied the bicanonical maps of primitive varieties and proved our main theorem. Finally, in Section 5 as an appendix, we gave an inequality on the irregularity of a fibration, and studied the case when the equality is attained.

Conventions:

- The varieties are assumed over $\mathbb{C}$.
- For a variety X and a vector bundle E on it, $\mathbb{P}_X(E)$ is defined as $Proj_{\mathcal{O}_X}(\oplus_k S^k(E^*))$, and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_X(E)}(1)$ denotes the anti-tautological bundle.
- Let $f : X \to Y$ be a morphism between two smooth projective varieties, denote by $D^b(X)$ and $D^b(Y)$ their bounded derived categories of coherent sheaves, and by Rf_* and Lf^* the derived functors of f_* and f^* respectively. For $E \in D^b(X)$, E^* denotes its dual $R\mathcal{H}om(E, \mathcal{O}_X)$, and we say that E is a sheaf if it is quasi-isomorphic to ($\cong$) a sheaf in $D^b(X)$.
- If $X = X_1 \times X_2 \times ... \times X_r$ denotes the product of r varieties, then p_i denotes the projection from X to the i-th factor X_i.
- If A denotes an Abelian variety, then $\hat{A}$ denotes its dual $Pic^0(A)$, $\mathcal{P}$ denotes the Poincaré line bundle on $A \times \hat{A}$, and the Fourier-Mukai transform $R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}} : D^b(A) \to D^b(\hat{A})$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{P}$ is defined as
$$R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}) := R(p_2)_*(Lp_1^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P});$$
similarly $R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} : D^b(\hat{A}) \to D^b(A)$ is defined as
$$R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}) := R(p_1)_*(Lp_2^*\mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{P})$$
For a sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on an Abelian variety A, if $R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F}) \cong R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{F})$, we say $\mathcal{F}$ satisfies IT^0.
- If $a : X \to A$ denotes a map to an Abelian variety, then $\mathcal{P}_a := (a \times id_{\hat{A}})^*\mathcal{P}$, and for $\mathcal{F} \in D^b(X)$, $R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined similarly; and if $\alpha \in \hat{A}$, we often

denote the line bundle $a^*\alpha \in Pic^0(X)$ by α for simplicity. If X is an irregular variety, we usually denote by $a_X : X \to Alb(X)$ the Albanese map.

Acknowledgements. Part of this note appears in the author's doctoral thesis submitted to Peking University (2011). The author would like to express appreciations to Prof. Jinxing Cai and Dr. Wenfei Liu for many useful discussions. He also thanks Prof. Meng Chen, Dr. Fan Peng and Ze Xu for their help on the inequality appearing in the appendix and Sofia Tirabassi for some suggestions. Finally, the author would like to thank the authors of [BLNP] for their stimulating ideas.

2. Definitions and technical results

In this section, we list some definitions and results which will be used in this paper.

2.1. **Fourier-Mukai transforms.**

Theorem 2.1 ([Mu], Thm. 2.2)**.** *Let A be an Abelian variety of dimension d. Then*

$$R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} \circ R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}} = (-1)^*_A[-d],\ R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}} \circ R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} = (-1)^*_{\hat{A}}[-d]$$

and

$$R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}} \circ (-1)^*_A \cong (-1)^*_{\hat{A}} \circ R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}, R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} \circ (-1)^*_{\hat{A}} \cong (-1)^*_A \circ R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}$$

Using Grothendieck duality, by the theorem above we have

Corollary 2.2. *Let A be an Abelian variety, E an object on $D^b(A)$, and $F = R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(E)^*$. Then*

$$R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(E) = F^*,\ R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(F) = E^*$$

Proof. Please refer to [Zh] for a detailed proof. □

2.2. **GV sheaves, M-regular sheaves and their properties.**

Definition 2.3 ([PP], Def. 2.1, 2.8, 2.10, [CH2], Def. 2.6)**.** *Given a coherent sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ on an Abelian variety A, its i-th cohomological support locus is defined as*

$$V^i(\mathcal{F}) := \{\alpha \in Pic^0(A) | h^i(\mathcal{F} \otimes \alpha) > 0\}$$

The number $gv(\mathcal{F}) := min_{i>0}\{codim_{Pic^0(A)} V^i(\mathcal{F}) - i\}$ is called the generic vanishing index of $\mathcal{F}$, and we say $\mathcal{F}$ is a $GV\ sheaf$ (resp. $M-regular\ sheaf$) if $gv(\mathcal{F}) \geq 0$ (resp. > 0).

Let X be an irregular variety equipped with a morphism to an Abelian variety $a : X \to A$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a sheaf on X, its i-th cohomological support locus w.r.t. a is defined as

$$V^i(\mathcal{F}, a) := \{\alpha \in Pic^0(A) | h^i(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \alpha) > 0\}$$

We say $\mathcal{F}$ is continuously globally generated (CGG) w.r.t. a if the sum of the evaluation maps

$$ev_U : \oplus_{\alpha \in U} H^0(\mathcal{F} \otimes \alpha) \otimes (\alpha^{-1}) \to \mathcal{F}$$

is surjective for any open set $U \subset \hat{A}$.

Proposition 2.4 ([PP], Thm. 5.1)**.** *An M-regular sheaf on an Abelian variety is CGG.*

2.3. **Generic vanishing theorem.** Recall generic vanishing theorem due to Green and Lazarsfeld:

Theorem 2.5 ([GL1], [GL2])**.** *Let X be a smooth compact kähler manifold, $d = q(X)$, $n = dim(X)$ and $k = dim(a_X(X))$. Then*

(i) $codim_{Pic^0(X)}(V^i(\omega_X, a_X)) \geq k - n + i$.

(ii) *Let Z be a component of $V^i(\omega_X, a_X)$ of positive dimension. Then Z is a subtorus of $Pic^0(X)$, and there exists an analytic variety Y of dimension $\leq n - i$ and a dominant map $f : X \to Y$ such that $Z \subset \alpha + f^*Pic^0(Y)$ where α is torsion.*

(iii) *Y has maximal Albanese dimension.*

(iv) *Let $\alpha \in V^i(\omega_X, a_X)$ and $v \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = T_\alpha Pic^0(X)$. The derived complex*

$$H^{n-i-1}(\alpha^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\cup v} H^{n-i}(\alpha^{-1}) \xrightarrow{\cup v} H^{n-i+1}(\alpha^{-1})$$

is exact if v is not contained in the tangent cone $TC_\alpha V^i(\omega_X, a_X)$ to $V^i(\omega_X, a_X)$ at α.

Corollary 2.6. *Let X be a smooth projective variety of m. A. d.. Then*

(i) *$h^i(\omega_X \otimes \alpha) = h^i((a_X)_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha)$ for $\alpha \in Pic^0(X), i \geq 0$, and $(a_X)_*\omega_X$ is a GV sheaf, in particular $h^i((a_X)_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha) = 0$ if α is sufficiently general and $i > 0$;*

(ii) *$R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\mathcal{O}_X)[d] \cong R^d\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\mathcal{O}_X)$ is a sheaf, which we denote by $\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$;*

(iii) *$R^i\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\omega_X) \cong (-1)^*_{Pic^0(X)}\mathcal{E}xt^i(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}, \mathcal{O}_{Pic^0(X)})$;*

(vi) *$p_g(X) > \chi(\omega_X)$.*

Proof. Note that $R(a_X)_*\omega_X \cong (a_X)_*\omega_X$ since a_X is generically finite. Then using Grothendieck duality and projection formula, the assertions $(i), (ii), (iii)$ follow from Theorem 2.5, please see [Ha] Thm. 1.5, 4.1 and Cor. 3.2. for the details.

For (vi), take a general $v \in H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) = T_{\hat{0}}Pic^0(X)$. Theorem 2.5 (iv) tells that the derived complex D_v

$$0 \longrightarrow H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\cup v} H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\cup v} ... \xrightarrow{\cup v} H^{n-1}(\mathcal{O}_X) \xrightarrow{\cup v} H^n(\mathcal{O}_X)$$

is exact except at the right-most term. This implies that the cokernel of the right-most map is a linear space of dimension $\chi(\omega_X)$, and on the other hand, that map is nonzero since X is of m. A. d., then we conclude that $p_g(X) = h^n(\mathcal{O}_X) > \chi(\omega_X)$. □

Proposition 2.7. *Let $a : X \to A$ be a generically finite morphism from a smooth projective variety onto an Abelian variety A. Suppose that $\chi(\omega_X) > 0$. Then for any $n > 0$ the pluri-canonical map ϕ_{nK_X} does not factor through a rationally.*

Proof. We only need to consider the canonical map. Obviously $p_g(X) > 1$ by Corollary 2.6 (iv) since X is of m. A. d., so the canonical map ϕ_{K_X} is not constant.

Assume by contrary that $\phi_{K_X} = g \circ a$ where $g : A \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{p_g(X)-1}$. By blowing up X and A, we get a model

$$\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{a} : \tilde{X} \to \tilde{A} \to \mathbb{P}^{p_g(X)-1}$$

such that both the maps $\tilde{g}$ and $\tilde{a}$ are morphisms and

$$|K_{\tilde{X}}| = (\tilde{g} \circ \tilde{a})^*|\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{p_g(X)-1}}(1)| + F = \tilde{a}^*|M| + F$$

Denote by $\tilde{R}$ the ramification divisor of $\tilde{X} \to \tilde{A}$. Then $K_{\tilde{X}} \equiv \tilde{R} + \tilde{a}^*E$ where E is exceptional w.r.t. the blowing up map $\tilde{A} \to A$. So we can find $M \in |M|$ such that $\tilde{R} + \tilde{a}^*E - \tilde{a}^*M$ is an effective divisor. However, since M is not contained in E and $\tilde{R} - \tilde{a}^*M$ is effective on $\tilde{a}^{-1}(\tilde{A} - E)$, we get a contradiction by use of the property of the ramification divisor. □

Definition 2.8 ([Ca], Def. 1.24)**.** *Let X be an irregular variety of m. A. d.. It is called primitive if $V^i(\omega_X, a_X)$ is composed of at most finite points for $i > 0$.*

2.4. **Universal divisors and separation.** Here we recall some results of [Zh] Sec. 3, and we omit the proof.

Theorem 2.9 (Theorem 2.10 in [Zh])**.** *Let X and Y be two projective normal varieties, and $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on $X \times Y$. Assume $E = (p_2)_*\mathcal{L}$ is a vector bundle and put $P = \mathbb{P}_Y(E)$. Note that there exists an open set $U \subset P$ parametrizing the divisors in $|\mathcal{L}_y|, y \in Y$. Denote by $\mathcal{D} \subset X \times U$ the universal family. Then its closure $\bar{\mathcal{D}} \subset X \times P$ is a divisor, and*

$$\bar{\mathcal{D}} \equiv p^*\mathcal{L} \otimes q^*\mathcal{O}_P(1)$$

where p, q denote the two projections $p : X \times P \to X \times Y$, $q : X \times P \to P$.

Let E be an IT^0 sheaf on an Abelian variety A. Let $P = \mathbb{P}_A(E^*)$, $\hat{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\hat{A}}(R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(E))$, $n = rank(E)$ and $m = rank(R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(E))$. $\pi : P \to A$ and $\hat{\pi} : \hat{P} \to \hat{A}$ denote the natural projections, which coincide with their Albanese maps respectively. We denote by $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ the pull-back $(\pi \times \hat{\pi})^*\mathcal{P}$ of the Poincaré bundle on $A \times \hat{A}$.

We identify P (resp. $\hat{P}$) with the Hilbert scheme parametrizing the divisors in $\{|\mathcal{O}_{\hat{P}}(1) \otimes \hat{\alpha}||\hat{\alpha} \in Pic^0(\hat{P}) = A\}$ (resp. $\{|\mathcal{O}_P(1) \otimes \alpha||\alpha \in Pic^0(P) = \hat{A}\}$), and denote by $\mathcal{Y} \subset P \times \hat{P}$ the universal family.

We have

- $\mathcal{Y} \equiv p_1^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{P}}(1) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$ (by Theorem 2.9);
- identifying a divisor in $|\mathcal{O}_P(1) \otimes \alpha|, \alpha \in \hat{A}$ with a point in $\hat{P}$, for every $x \in P$, $\mathcal{Y}_x$ parametrizes all those divisors passing through x;
- $\mathcal{Y}_x \equiv \mathcal{Y}_y \Leftrightarrow \pi(x) = \pi(y), \mathcal{Y}_x = \mathcal{Y}_y \Leftrightarrow x = y$.

And we can write that

$$\mathcal{Y}_x = \mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{V}_x \text{ and } \mathcal{V}_x = \mathcal{V}_x^1 + ... + \mathcal{V}_x^r \tag{2.1}$$

where $\mathcal{H}_x$ is the horizontal part (if $m = 1$ then $\mathcal{H}_x = \emptyset$), $\mathcal{V}_x = \hat{\pi}^*V_x$ is the vertical part ($\mathcal{V}_x = \emptyset$ if $\mathcal{Y}_x$ is irreducible), and the $\mathcal{V}_x^i = \hat{\pi}^*V_x^i$'s are the reduced and irreducible vertical components (two of them may equal). In fact there is a decomposition $\mathcal{Y} = \mathcal{H} + \mathcal{V}$ such that for general $x \in P$, $\mathcal{Y}_x = \mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{V}_x$.

Lemma 2.10 ([Zh], Lemma 3.3)**.** *Let $x, y \in P$ be two distinct points. Write that $\mathcal{Y}_x = \mathcal{H}_x + \mathcal{V}_x$ and $\mathcal{Y}_y = \mathcal{H}_y + \mathcal{V}_y$ as in 2.1. Then the following conditions are equivalent*

(a) *$|\mathcal{O}_P(2)|$ fails to separate x, y;*
(b) *$\mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_y$ and $Supp(V_x + (-1)^*_{\hat{A}}V_x) = Supp(V_y + (-1)^*_{\hat{A}}V_y)$.*

3. THE MAPS BETWEEN TWO IRREGULAR VARIETIES

First we introduce the following theorem (the results may be known to experts, in particular the last assertion also appeared in [BLNP] Prop. 4.10).

Theorem 3.1. *Let $a : X \to A$ be a generically finite morphism from a smooth projective variety to an Abelian variety. Suppose that $dim(X) = dim(A) = d \geq 2$, $a^* : Pic^0(A) \to Pic^0(X)$ is an embedding and that $V^i(\omega_X)$ is composed of at most some isolated points for $i > 0$. Then $R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)$ is M-regular, and there exists an exact sequence*

$$(-1)_A^*R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X) \to a_*\omega_X \to \mathcal{O}_A \to 0$$

Moreover, if X is not birationally equivalent to A, then $\chi(\omega_X) > 0$.

Proof. For $i > 0$, let $\tau_i = R^i\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)$. Then τ_i is supported on some isolated points by assumption, thus $R^j\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^i\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X) = 0$ except when $j = 0$. And recall that $\tau_d = \mathbb{C}(\hat{0})$ (Proposition 6.1 in [BLNP]).

Consider the spectral sequence arising from $(-1)_A^*R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X) \cong a_*\omega_X[-d]$ (by Theorem 2.1). We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&(-1)_A^*R^i\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X) \cong 0,\ \ i = 0, 1\\
&(-1)_A^*R^i\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X) \cong (-1)_A^*R^0\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}\tau_{i-1}\ \ i = 2, 3, ..., d-1
\end{aligned}\tag{3.1}$$

and

$$0 \to (-1)_A^*R^0\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}\tau_{d-1} \to (-1)_A^*R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X) \to a_*\omega_X \to \mathcal{O}_A \to 0$$

Let's show that $R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X))$ is M-regular. By 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^j\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^i\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)) \cong 0,\ \ i = 0, 1; j = 0, 1, ...\\
&R^d\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^i\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X))\\
&\cong R^d\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}\tau_{i-1} \cong (-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\tau_{i-1}\ \ i = 2, 3, ..., d-1 \text{ by Theorem 2.1}\\
&R^j\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^i\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X))\\
&\cong R^j\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}\tau_{i-1} \cong 0\ \ i = 2, 3, ..., d-1, j \neq d
\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

Then applying the spectral sequence arising from

$$R\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)) \cong (-1)_{\hat{A}}^*R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)[-d]$$

by 3.2 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)) \cong (-1)_{\hat{A}}^*R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)\\
&R^j\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X))\\
&\cong R^d\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^{j+1}\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)) \cong (-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\tau_j\ \ j = 1, 2..., d-2\\
&R^j\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)) \cong 0\ \ j = d-1, d
\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Then we find that $gv(R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X))) \geq 2$.

If X is not birationally equivalent to A, then $deg(a) > 1$. We have proved $(-1)_A^*R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)$ is mapped to a rank $deg(a) - 1$ subsheaf of $a_*\omega_X$, so $R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)) \neq 0$. Since $R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X))$ is M-regular, hence is CGG, it follows that $h^0(a_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha) > 0$ for general $\alpha \in Pic^0(A)$. Therefore, $\chi(\omega_X) = \chi(\omega_X \otimes \alpha) = \chi(a_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha) = h^0(a_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha) > 0$ since $Ra_*\omega_X \cong a_*\omega_X$ and $h^i(a_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha) = 0$ for $i > 0$ and general α. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let $\pi : X \to Z$ be a generically finite morphism between two smooth projective varieties of m. A. d.. Suppose that the Albanese map a_X factors through π. Then $\chi(\omega_X) \geq \chi(\omega_Z)$.*

If moreover π is not birational, and one of the following holds

(i) *$gv(\omega_X, a_X) \geq 1$;*
(ii) *X is primitive,*

then $\chi(\omega_X) > \chi(\omega_Z)$.

Proof. By the universal property of Albanese map, we find that $Alb(X) = Alb(Z)$ and $a_X = a_Z \circ \pi$. Denote by A their Albanese variety in the following.

Note that $\omega_{X/Z}$ is effective since π is generically finite and Z is smooth by assumption. Fixing a section of $\omega_{X/Z}$, we can define a morphism $\pi^*\omega_Z \to \omega_X$. Pushing forward via π, we get a morphism $\pi_*\pi^*\omega_Z \to \pi_*\omega_X$; composing with the natural morphism $\omega_Z \to \pi_*\pi^*\omega_Z$, we get $\omega_Z \to \pi_*\omega_X$; then pushing forward via a_Z, we get $\rho : (a_Z)_*\omega_Z \to (a_X)_*\omega_X$. For every $\alpha \in \hat{A}$, ρ induces an inclusion

$$\rho_\alpha : H^0(A, (a_Z)_*\omega_Z \otimes \alpha) \hookrightarrow H^0(A, (a_X)_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha)$$

and it follows that $\chi(\omega_X) \geq \chi(\omega_Z)$ from generic vanishing theorem.

For the remaining assertion, suppose that $deg(\pi) > 1$, and $\chi(\omega_X) = \chi(\omega_Z)$ by contrary. We can find a Zariski open set $U \subset Pic^0(A)$ such that for $\alpha \in U$, ρ_α is an isomorphism. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{\alpha\in U} H^0(A, (a_Z)_*\omega_Z \otimes \alpha) \otimes \alpha^{-1} \arrow[r, "ev'_U"] \arrow[d, "\bigoplus_{\alpha\in U}\rho_\alpha\otimes\alpha^{-1}"'] & (a_Z)_*\omega_Z \arrow[d, "\rho"'] \\
\bigoplus_{\alpha\in U} H^0(A, (a_X)_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha) \otimes \alpha^{-1} \arrow[r, "ev_U"] & (a_X)_*\omega_X
\end{tikzcd}$$

The vertical map $\bigoplus_{\alpha\in U} \rho_\alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1}$ is an isomorphism, so it follows that the image

$$\heartsuit \ Im(ev_U) = Im(\rho \circ ev'_U)$$

In Case (i), the bottom evaluation map ev_U is surjective by Proposition 2.4, so is $\rho : (a_Z)_*\omega_Z \to (a_X)_*\omega_X$ by $\heartsuit$. This is impossible since $rank((a_Z)_*\omega_Z) = \frac{rank((a_X)_*\omega_X)}{deg(\pi)}$.

In Case (ii), we may assume $dim(X) = q(X) = d$. In Theorem 3.1, we get a natural homomorphism

$$\eta : (-1)^*_A R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\omega_X) \to (a_X)_*\omega_X$$

which induces a map

$$\eta_\alpha : H^0(A, (-1)^*_A R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\omega_X) \otimes \alpha) \to H^0(A, (a_X)_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha)$$

Then consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\bigoplus_{\alpha\in U} H^0(A, (-1)^*_A R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\omega_X) \otimes \alpha) \otimes \alpha^{-1} \arrow[r, "ev''_U"] \arrow[d, "\bigoplus_{\alpha\in U}\eta_\alpha\otimes\alpha^{-1}"'] & (-1)^*_A R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\omega_X) \arrow[d, "\eta"'] \\
\bigoplus_{\alpha\in U} H^0(A, (a_X)_*\omega_X \otimes \alpha) \otimes \alpha^{-1} \arrow[r, "ev_U"] & (a_X)_*\omega_X
\end{tikzcd}$$

The top evaluation map ev''_U is surjective since $(-1)^*_A R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}} R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}((a_X)_*\omega_X)$ is M-regular, then Theorem 3.1 implies that $rank(Im(\eta\circ ev''_U)) = deg(a_X)-1$. By the commutative diagram above, we deduce that $rank(Im(ev_U)) \geq deg(a_X) - 1$, and then $rank((a_Z)_*\omega_Z) \geq rank(\rho((a_Z)_*\omega_Z)) \geq deg(a_X) - 1$ by $\heartsuit$. On the other hand $rank((a_Z)_*\omega_Z) = \frac{rank((a_X)_*\omega_X)}{deg(\pi)} = \frac{deg(a_X)}{deg(\pi)}$. We conclude that $deg(\pi) = deg(a_X) = 2$, then Z is birationally equivalent to A, hence $\chi(\omega_X) > \chi(\omega_Z) = 0$. □

Finally we prove our theorem.

Remark 3.3. *Theorem 3.2 is proved by Tirabassi in case* (i) *with a milder assumption (*[Ti] *Prop. 5.2.4). And a weaker version also appears in* [CLZ]*, there which is applied to study the automorphism groups inducing trivial actions on the cohomology of irregular varieties. The key point is that the variety and the quotient variety (modulo that group) have equal Euler numbers, the interested readers can refer to* [CLZ].

4. Bicanonical maps of primitive varieties with $dim(X) = q(X)$

4.1. **The results of** [BLNP]**.** In this section we will recall the main results of [BLNP]. We begin with a hypothesis.

Hypothesis 4.1 (Hypothesis 4.7 in [BLNP])**.** *Let* X *be a variety of dimension* d*, equipped with a generically finite morphism to an Abelian variety* $a : X \to A$ *such that:*

(a) $codim_{\hat{A}}(V^i(a_*(\omega_X))) \geq i+1$ *for all* i *such that* $0 < i < d$*;*
(b) *the map* $a^* : Pic^0(A) \to Pic^0(X)$ *is an embedding.*

Let $a : X \to A$ satisfy Hypothesis 4.1 and $U_0 = \hat{A} - V^1(\omega_X, a)$. For a general $p \in X$, define

$$\mathcal{B}_a(p) := \{\alpha \in U_0 | p \textit{ is a base point of } |\omega_X \otimes \alpha|\}$$

Theorem 4.2 (Theorem 4.13, Corollary 4.14 in [BLNP])**.** *Let* X *be a variety of general type satisfying Hypothesis 4.1. Then*

(a) *If* $\mathcal{B}_a(p)$ *is of codimension* > 1*, then* $I_p \otimes \omega_X$ *is CGG.*
(b) *If, for a general* $p \in X$*,* $I_p \otimes \omega_X$ *is CGG, then* $\omega_X^2 \otimes \alpha$ *is generically very ample for all* $\alpha \in \hat{A}$*.*

The main theorem of [BLNP] is

Theorem 4.3 (Theorem A in [BLNP])**.** *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety of maximal Albanese dimension and of general type. Assume moreover that* X *is primitive and that* $dim(X) < q(X)$*. If the bicanonical map of* X *is non-birational, then* X *is birationally equivalent to a theta-divisor of an indecomposable p.p.a.v..*

Considering a morphism to an Abelian variety $a : X \to A$ instead of a_X, with additional conditions, we get

Corollary 4.4. *Let* X *be a smooth projective variety of general type equipped with a generically finite morphism* $a : X \to A$ *to an Abelian variety* A*. Suppose that*

(i) $V^i(\omega_X, a)$ *is composed of at most some isolated points for* $i > 0$*;*
(ii) $dim(X) < dim(A)$ *and* $a^* : Pic^0(A) \to Pic^0(X)$ *is an embedding;*
(iii) *for general* $\alpha \in \hat{A}$*,* $|\omega_X \otimes \alpha| \equiv |M| + F_\alpha$ *where* M *is the moving part which is independent of* α *and* F_α *is the fixed part.*

Then X *is birational to a theta divisor on* A*.*

Proof. Note that [BLNP] Sec. 5.1 and 5.2 are in fact devoted to proving the assumption (iii). So arguing as in [BLNP] Sec. 5.3 and 5.4, we prove the corollary. □

4.2. **The degree of the bicanonical maps.**

Assumption-Notation 4.5. *From now on, let* X *be a smooth projective primitive variety of general type such that* $q(X) = dim(X) = d \geq 2$*. Denote by* $a : X \to A$ *the Albanese map (which obviously satisfies Hypothesis 4.1). Suppose that the bicanonical map* $\phi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{P_2(X)-1}$ *is not birational.*

Lemma 4.6. *Let the notations and assumptions be as in 4.5. Then*

$$R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\omega_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(-\hat{D})^{\oplus\chi(\omega_X)}$$

where $\hat{D}$ *is an ample divisor on* $\hat{A}$*.*

Proof. We follow the proof in [BLNP] Sec. 5. Note that Theorem 4.2 holds in this case. By the same argument as in [BLNP] Sec. 5, we can prove that for general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$, $|\omega_X \otimes \alpha| = |M| + F_\alpha$, then get a divisor $\mathcal{F}$ on $X \times \hat{A}$ such that $\mathcal{F}_\alpha = F_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in U_0$. It follows that

$$\mathcal{P}_a \cong \mathcal{O}_{X\times\hat{A}}(\mathcal{F}) \otimes p_1^*(\omega_X^{-1} \otimes M) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(-\hat{D})$$

where $\hat{D}$ is a fiber $\mathcal{F}_p$ for some $p \in X$. Then we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathcal{P}_a^{-1} \to p_1^*(\omega_X \otimes M^{-1}) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{D}) \to p_1^*(\omega_X \otimes M^{-1}) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{D})|_{\mathcal{F}} \to 0$$

Applying $R^d(p_2)_*$ to the sequence above, we obtain the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \tau \to (-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{D})^{\oplus\chi(\omega_X)} \to \tau' \to 0 \tag{4.1}$$

where

(a) The rank $\chi(\omega_X)$ in the third term appears because $h^d(\omega_X \otimes M^{-1}) = h^0(M) = \chi(\omega_X)$.

(b) τ' is supported at the locus of the $\alpha \in \hat{A}$ such that the fiber $\mathcal{F}_\alpha$ of the projection $p_2 : \mathcal{F} \to \hat{A}$ has dimension d. Such locus is contained in the union of $V^i(\omega_X, a), i > 0$, hence is finite.

(c) Since $(-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$ (cf. Corollary 2.6 (*ii*)) also has rank $\chi(\omega_X)$, the kernel of the map $(-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X} \to \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{D})^{\oplus\chi(\omega_X)}$ is the torsion part $\tau \cong k(\hat{0})$ of $(-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}$ (cf. Prop. 6.1 in [BLNP]).

(d) Applying $R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}$ to Sq. 4.1, since $R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(\tau'), R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(\tau)$ *and* $R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}((-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}) \cong a_*\mathcal{O}_X$ (by Theorem 2.1) are all sheaves on A, using spectral sequence gives that $R\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{D})^{\oplus\chi(\omega_X)})$ is also a sheaf, hence $\hat{D}$ is an ample divisor on $\hat{A}$.

Note that $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(\hat{D})^{\oplus\chi(\omega_X)}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}) = 0$ except when $i = 0$, and that $\mathcal{E}xt^i(\tau, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}) = \mathcal{E}xt^i(\tau', \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}) = 0$ except when $i = d$ since the support of τ and τ' is of codimension d. By Corollary 2.6 (*iii*), $R^i\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\omega_X) \cong (-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\mathcal{E}xt^i(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}})$. Applying $\mathcal{E}xt(*, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}})$ to Sq. 4.1 and considering the corresponding spectral sequence, we conclude that

$$R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\omega_X) \cong (-1)_{\hat{A}}^*\mathcal{E}xt^0(\widehat{\mathcal{O}_X}, \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(-\hat{D})^{\oplus\chi(\omega_X)}$$

□

Let $E = (-1)_A^*R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\omega_X) \cong (-1)_A^*R^d\Psi_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(-\hat{D})^{\oplus\chi(\omega_X)})$. Then E is an IT^0 sheaf, and Theorem 3.1 gives an exact sequence

$$E \to a_*\omega_X \to \mathcal{O}_A \to 0 \tag{4.2}$$

and $R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(E) = R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\omega_X)$.

Let $\hat{P} = \mathbb{P}_{\hat{A}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\omega_X)) = \mathbb{P}_{\hat{A}}(R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(E))$, and let $P = \mathbb{P}_A(E^*)$. Note that $\hat{P}$ is one component of the Hilbert scheme parametrizing the divisors in $|K_X \otimes \alpha|, \alpha \in \hat{A}$. Let $\mathcal{K} \subset X \times \hat{P}$ be the divisor presenting the universal families. Let the notations $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}$, $\mathcal{Y}$, π and $\hat{\pi}$ be as in Sec. 2.4. By Theorem 2.9, we have

$$\mathcal{K} \equiv p_1^*\omega_X \otimes \mathcal{P}_a \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{P}}(1) \textit{ and } \mathcal{Y} \equiv p_1^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) \otimes p_2^*\mathcal{O}_{\hat{P}}(1) \otimes \tilde{\mathcal{P}}$$

Comparing the two relations above, for a general $x \in X$, $\mathcal{K}_x$ is a fiber of $\mathcal{Y} \to P$. So we can define a rational map

$$h : X \dashrightarrow P \textit{ via } x \mapsto \mathcal{K}_x$$

We can assume h is a morphism up to some blow-ups, and find that

$$\mathcal{K} = (h \times id)^*\mathcal{Y} \otimes p_1^*\mathcal{O}_X(F)$$

where F is an effective divisor on X. It follows that $h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) + F \equiv \omega_X$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let all the notations be as above. If the Albanese map of X is not of degree 2, then $h : X \to P$ is an embedding generically.*

Proof. Note that the relative map $h : X/A \to P/A$ is induced by the homomorphism $E \to a_*h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1)$. On the other hand, by $h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) + F \equiv \omega_X$, we can define a homomorphism $h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) \to \omega_X$, in turn we get a homomorphism $a_*h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) \to a_*\omega_X$. Then applying $R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}$ to the composed homomorphism $E \to a_*h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) \to a_*\omega_X$ gives the composition

$$R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(E) \to R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1)) \to R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}}(a_*\omega_X)$$

By the argument above, we find that the composed homomorphism above coincides with the Fourier-Mukai transform of the homomorphism $\varphi : E \to a_*\omega_X$ in 4.2, so $\varphi : E \to a_*\omega_X$ coincides with the composition $E \to a_*h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1) \to a_*\omega_X$. Therefore, $rank(E \to a_*h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1)) \geq n-1$ by Theorem 3.1 where $n = rank(a_*\omega_X) = deg(a)$. Note that the natural map $h(X) \to A$ is of degree $\geq rank(E \to a_*h^*\mathcal{O}_P(1)) \geq n-1$, hence $deg(h) \leq \frac{n}{n-1}$. Then the theorem follows easily since $n > 2$. $\square$

By the proof of Lemma 4.6, we can write

$$\mathcal{K} = p_1^*(M + F) + (id_X \times \hat{\pi})^*\mathcal{V} \tag{4.3}$$

where

- $|M|$ is the moving part of $|\omega_X \otimes \alpha|$ for general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$;
- F is the common fixed part of $|\omega_X \otimes \alpha|$ for general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$;
- ♠ $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{F} - p_1^*F \subset X \times \hat{A}$, from the definition of F and (b) in the proof of Lemma 4.6, each of its components is dominantly mapped to X and $\hat{A}$ via the natural projections.

So we can write $K_X \equiv M + F + V$ such that $|K_X \otimes \alpha| = |M| + F + \mathcal{V}_\alpha$ for general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$.

Fixing a general point $x \in X - F$, we can write $\mathcal{K}_x = \mathcal{H}_x + \hat{\pi}^*\mathcal{V}_x$ where $\mathcal{H}_x$ is the horizontal part (if $m = 1$, then $\mathcal{H}_x = \emptyset$), which parametrizes the divisors in $|M|$ passing through x, and $\mathcal{V}_x = V_x^1 + ... + V_x^r$ (the V_x^i are reduced and irreducible components, and two of them may equal).

Theorem 4.8. *Using the notations above, the degree of the bicanonical map of X is $\leq 2^r$.*

Proof. Let $x, y \in P$ be two distinct points such that $|\mathcal{O}_P(2)|$ fails to separate them. If $\mathcal{V}_x = V_x^1 + ... + V_x^r$ as above, then Lemma 2.10 tells that

$$\mathcal{H}_x = \mathcal{H}_y$$

and

$$\mathcal{V}_y = ((-1)_{\hat{A}}^{\epsilon_1})^* V_x^1 + ... + ((-1)_{\hat{A}}^{\epsilon_r})^* V_x^r, \ for\ some\ \epsilon_i \in \{0,1\}, i = 1, 2, ..., r$$

which has 2^r possibilities.

If h is an embedding generically, then we are done since $2K_X \geq h^*\mathcal{O}_P(2)$. So we assume the opposite, then both the Albanese map and h are of degree 2 by Lemma 4.7, they are in fact birationally equivalent. Since the restriction of $|\mathcal{O}_P(2)|$ on $h(X)$ defines a map of degree $\leq 2^r$, it suffices to show that the bicanonical map does not factor through h or a rationally. This follows from Proposition 2.7. ☐

Theorem 4.8 gives an upper bound to the degree of the bicanonical map involving the number of the irreducible components of $\mathcal{V}_x$. This bound is optimal by the following example.

Example 4.9. *Let*

- $A = A_1 \times A_2 \times ... \times A_r$ *be the product of* r *principal polarized Abelian varieties with* Θ_i *the symmetric theta divisor on* A_i*;*
- $B \equiv p_1^*B_1 + ... + p_r^*B_r$ *where* $B_i \in |2\Theta_i|$ *is a smooth divisor on* A_i*;*
- $L \equiv p_1^*(\Theta_1) + ... + p_r^*(\Theta_r)$*;*
- $Y \to A$ *the double cover given by* $2L \equiv B$*;*
- $X \to Y$ *a smooth resolution.*

Note that Y *has at most canonical singularities since* B *is a reduced simple normal crossing divisor. We denote by* $\pi : X \to Y \to A$ *the composed map which coincides with the Albanese map. Then we have* $\omega_X \equiv \pi^*(p_1^*\Theta_1 + ... + p_r^*\Theta_r)$*, thus* $\pi_*\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_A \oplus \mathcal{O}_A(p_1^*\Theta_1 + ... + p_r^*\Theta_r)$ *and* $\pi_*\omega_X^2 \cong \mathcal{O}_A(p_1^*\Theta_1 + ... + p_r^*\Theta_r) \oplus \mathcal{O}_A(B)$*. Since* $|B|$ *defines a morphism of degree* 2^r *on* A*, and* $p_1^*\Theta_1 + ... + p_r^*\Theta_r$ *and the divisors in* $|B|$ *are all symmetric, the degree of the bicanonical map of* X *is* 2^r*.*

On the other hand, we can write that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^1 + \mathcal{V}^2 + ... + \mathcal{V}^r$ *where* $\mathcal{V}^i$ *is the pull-back of a divisor on* $A_i \times \hat{A}_i$ *via the projection* $A \times \hat{A} \to A_i \times \hat{A}_i$ *(details are omitted), hence for general* $x \in X$*,* $\mathcal{V}_x$ *has* r *irreducible components.*

Remark 4.10. *Theorem 4.8 is analogous to Corollary 3.4 in* [Zh]*. There the author proved that for the projective bundle* $P = \mathbb{P}_A(E^*)$ *with* E *an* IT^0 *vector bundle on* A*,* $\mathcal{O}_P(2)$ *is not generically very ample if and only if* $\mathcal{Y}$ *is reducible; the degree of the map defined by* $|\mathcal{O}_P(2)|$ *is* 2^r*, and the number* $r > 1$ *implies that* A *and* E *split (*[Zh] *Theorem 1.2, 3.5).*

It is expected that in our situation A *splits if* $r > 1$*, for this, Example 4.9 provides an evidence.*

If A *is not simple, then* X *has irregular fibrations, so the case when* A *is simple, is of special interest.*

4.3. **The case when A is simple.**

In this section, let the notations and assumptions be as in the previous subsection, and assume that A is simple (this implies that X is primitive).

Proposition 4.11. $\mathcal{V}$ *is irreducible.*

Proof. We argue by contrary. Suppose that $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}^1 + \mathcal{V}^2$ is reducible. Note that both $\mathcal{V}^1$ and $\mathcal{V}^2$ are mapped dominantly to X and $\hat{A}$. Fixing a general α_0, we define two maps $\iota_i : \hat{A} \to \hat{A}$ via $\alpha \mapsto \mathcal{V}^i_\alpha - \mathcal{V}^i_{\alpha_0}$ with $\mathcal{V}^i_\alpha - \mathcal{V}^i_{\alpha_0}$ seen as an element in $\hat{A}$, which extend to two morphisms. We claim that neither of the two maps are constant. Indeed, say ι_1 is constant, then for a general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$ $\mathcal{V}^1_\alpha \equiv \mathcal{V}^1_{\alpha_0}$, so $\mathcal{V}^1_\alpha + \mathcal{V}^2_{\alpha_0} \equiv \mathcal{V}^1_{\alpha_0} + \mathcal{V}^2_{\alpha_0} \in |\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_0}|$, on the other hand $\mathcal{V}^1_\alpha \neq \mathcal{V}^1_{\alpha_0}$ since $\mathcal{V}^1$ is dominant over X (by $\spadesuit$), this contradicts that $\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_0}$ is contained in the fixed part of $|\omega_X \otimes \alpha_0|$. Therefore, both the two maps are surjective since $\hat{A}$ is simple. For general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$, there exist $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \hat{A}$ such that $\iota_1(\alpha_1) = \alpha, \iota_2(\alpha_2) = \alpha^{-1}$. Then we conclude that

$$\mathcal{V}_{\alpha_0} = \mathcal{V}^1_{\alpha_0} + \mathcal{V}^2_{\alpha_0} \equiv \mathcal{V}^1_{\alpha_1} + \mathcal{V}^2_{\alpha_2}$$

Similarly, a contradiction follows, and we are done. □

Theorem 4.12. *The Euler number* $\chi(\omega_X) = 1$.

Proof. By contrary assume that $\chi(\omega_X) \geq 2$. So the moving part $|M|$ of $|\omega_X \otimes \alpha|$ is non-trivial. We can assume the map defined by $|M|$ is a morphism up to some blowing up maps, and denote it by f. Let $f = l \circ g : X \to Y \to \mathbb{P}^{\chi(\omega_X)-1}$ be the Stein factorization. We will derive contradictions below.

Step 1: $|\omega_X(M) \otimes \alpha| = |H| + V_\alpha$ where H is independent of general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$.

For general $x \in X$, define the locus $B_x := \{\alpha \in \hat{A} | x \textit{ is a base point of } |\omega_X(M) \otimes \alpha|\}$.

If B_x is of codimension 1, using the argument in [BLNP] Sec. 5.1, for general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$, we can write $|\omega_X(M) \otimes \alpha| = |H_\alpha| + V'_\alpha + F'$, where F' denotes the common fixed components, so every component of V'_α varies as α varies. We get a divisor $\mathcal{V}'$ on $X \times \hat{A}$ such that $\mathcal{V}'_\alpha = V'_\alpha$ for general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$. Since $|M|$ has no fixed part, $V'_\alpha \leq \mathcal{V}_\alpha$, so $\mathcal{V}' \leq \mathcal{V}$. By Proposition 4.11 $\mathcal{V}$ is irreducible, so $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V}$. We can see that $|H_\alpha|$ is independent of general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$, and we are done.

Now assume B_x is of codimension > 1. Take two distinct general points $x, y \in X$ such that $2K_X$ fails to separate them.

Claim 4.13. $\mathcal{V}_x = \mathcal{V}_y$.

Proof of the claim. Otherwise, we can take α contained in $\mathcal{V}_x$ while not in $\mathcal{V}_y$, and such that $-\alpha$ is not contained in B_y since $codim_{\hat{A}}(B_y) > 1$. It follows that $\mathcal{V}_\alpha$ contains x but y, and there exists $D \in |\omega_X(M) \otimes \alpha^{-1}|$ which does not contain y. Therefore, the divisor $\mathcal{V}_\alpha + D + F \in |2K_X|$ contains x but y, a contradiction to our assumption. □

If a is of degree 2, then $a(x) \neq a(y)$ by Proposition 2.7, thus $\mathcal{K}_x \neq \mathcal{K}_y$ since they are not even linearly equivalent. Because $\mathcal{V}_x = \mathcal{V}_y$, so $\mathcal{H}_x \neq \mathcal{H}_y$, which means there exists $M \in |M|$ contains x but y. Therefore, $2K_X$ separates x and y, but this contradicts our assumption.

For the remaining case, from Lemma 4.7 it follows that $\mathcal{K}_x \neq \mathcal{K}_y$, then similarly we get a contradiction.

Step 2: Y is not a curve.

Suppose that Y is a curve. Then $Y \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ since A is simple and $dim(X) \geq 2$. Then $M \equiv g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(k)$. Take a general $M' \in |g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)|$, which is irreducible and smooth.

Considering the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega_X \to \omega_X(M') \to \omega_{M'} \to 0$$

tensoring a general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$ and taking cohomology, we conclude that the restriction $H^0(X, \omega_X(M')) \to H^0(M', \omega_{M'})$ is surjective since $H^1(X, \omega_X \otimes \alpha) = 0$. Then by $|\omega_X(M') \otimes \alpha| = |H| + V_\alpha$ (this follows from applying the argument in Step 1 to M' instead of M), we have that

$$|\omega_{M'} \otimes \alpha| = |H||_{M'} + V_\alpha|_{M'}$$

And since A is simple, we conclude that $V^i(\omega_{M'}, a|_{M'})$ is composed of at most finite isolated points if $i > 0$.

Note that $a(M')$ generates A again since A is simple. The morphism $a|_{M'} : M' \to A$ has a factorization $\pi_{M'} \circ a'_{M'} : M' \to A_{M'} \to A$, such that $(a'_{M'})^* : Pic^0(A_{M'}) \to Pic^0(M')$ is an embedding and that $\pi^*_{M'} Pic^0(A) = Pic^0(A_{M'})$. For $a'_{M'}$, Corollary 4.4 (i) and (ii) are satisfied, and for a general $\alpha \in Pic^0(A_{M'}) = \pi^*_{M'} Pic^0(A)$, $|\omega_{M'} \otimes \alpha| = |H||_{M'} + V_\alpha|_{M'}$ holds. Applying Corollary 4.4 shows that $a'_{M'} : M' \to A_{M'}$ birationally maps M' to a theta divisor, in particular $q(X) = q(M') + q(Y)$. Then Theorem 5.2 implies that X is birational to $M' \times \mathbb{P}^1$, which contradicts that X is of general type.

Step 3: Suppose that $dim(Y) > 1$. Then a general $M \in |M|$ is irreducible and smooth, and $f(M)$ is of dimension ≥ 1, thus $h^0(M, M|_M) \geq 2$. Similarly using the following exact sequence

$$0 \to \omega_X \to \omega_X(M) \to \omega_M \to 0$$

we conclude that $|\omega_M \otimes \alpha| = |H||_M + V_\alpha|_M$ for general $\alpha \in \hat{A}$. By similar argument as in Step 2, we prove that M is birational to a theta divisor of a p.p.a.v., and thus $\chi(\omega_M) = h^0(M, H|_M) = 1$. However, since $\omega_M \otimes \alpha \geq 2M|_M$, we have $h^0(M, H|_M) \geq h^0(M, 2M|_M) \geq 2$, a contradiction happens. ☐

Theorem 4.14. *$|2K_X|$ separates the points over the same general point on A.*

Proof. Consider the diagonal map $(a \times \phi) : X \dashrightarrow A \times \mathbb{P}^{P_2(X)-1}$. We assume this map is a morphism, and denote by Z the image. If the theorem is not true, then $X \dashrightarrow Z$ is not birational. Note that the Albanese map a_X factors through $X \dashrightarrow Z$. Since $\chi(\omega_X) = 1$, Theorem 3.2 implies that $\chi(\omega_Z) = 0$, so Z is birational to A by Theorem 3.1. However, this contradicts Proposition 2.7. ☐

Remark 4.15. *When A is simple, $R^0\Phi_{\mathcal{P}_a}(\omega_X) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\hat{A}}(-\hat{D})$ is a line bundle. We conjecture that $\mathcal{Y}_x$ is irreducible for general $x \in X$. If that conjecture is true, then by Theorem 4.8, the bicanonical map ϕ is of degree 2. Precisely, using the idea of* [Zh]*, we know that ϕ factors through an involution σ, and up to a translation on A, the quotient map $X \to X/(\sigma)$ fits into the following commutative diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd} X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "a"'] & X/(\sigma) \arrow[d, "a'"'] \\ A \arrow[r] & A/((-1)_A) \end{tikzcd}$$

5. Appendix: An inequality on the fibrations of irregular varieties

Let $f : S \to C$ be a fibration of a smooth surface and F a general fiber. Beauville proved that $q(S) \leq q(F) + q(C)$, and if $q(F) \geq 2$, then the equality holds if and only if S is birational to $C \times F$ ([Beau]).

For arbitrary dimension case, we have

Theorem 5.1. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a fibration between two smooth projective varieties and F a general fiber. Then $q(X) \leq q(Y) + q(F)$, and the kernel of the restriction map $r : Pic^0(X) \to Pic^0(F)$ contains $f^*Pic^0(Y)$, as the whole component passing through the identity point $\hat{0} \in Pic^0(X)$.*

Proof. In [CH1] Cor. 3.5, the authors proved the inequality for Iitaka fibration. Using their notations for convenience, note that since $alb_X(X_y)$ generates J by the definition of J ([CH1], Lemma 2.6), the natural map $A(X_y) \to J$ is surjective. Then applying their argument we can prove $q(X) \leq q(Y) + q(F)$. The remaining assertion follows from [CH1] Lemma 2.6. Also one can prove this theorem by use of [Lan] Chap. VIII Theorem 13 and Beauville's argument. □

Theorem 5.2. *Let $f : X \to Y$ be a fibration between two smooth projective varieties. Suppose that the general fiber X_y is birational to a theta divisor F_y of the p.p.a.v. $Alb(X_y)$, and that $q(X) = q(X_y) + q(Y)$. Then for general y, $F_y \cong F$ (i.e., F_y is independent of y up to isomorphisms), and X is birational to $F \times Y$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1 and the assumption $q(X) = q(X_y) + q(Y)$, the restriction map $Pic^0(X)/f^*Pic^0(Y) \to Pic^0(X_y)$ is an epimorphism between two Abelian varieties of the same dimension, thus the kernel consists of finite torsion points which is independent of general y. Then we can see that $Pic^0(X_y)$ is independent of general y up to isomorphisms, so is its dual $Alb(X_y)$. We can assume $Alb(X_y) \cong A$, and A has a theta divisor F such that $F_y \cong F$.

Note that $f : X \to Y$ has a birational model $f' : X' \to Y$ such that the general fibers are all isomorphic to F (X' arises from the Stein factorization of the diagonal map $a_X \times f : X \to X' \to Alb(X) \times Y$). Since F is of general type, $f' : X' \to Y$ is birational to $(F \times Z)/G \to Z/G$ where the action of G on the product $F \times Z$ is compatible with the actions on each factor (imitating the proof of [Le] Proposition 1). Then again by $q(X) = q(F) + q(Y)$, G induces a trivial action on $H^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F)$.

If we can show G acts on F trivially, then we are done. From now on fix the Albanese map $a : F \to A$, and take $\sigma \in G$. By the universal property of Albanese maps, we obtain the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} F \arrow[r, "\sigma"] \arrow[d, "a"] & F \arrow[d, "a"] \\ A \arrow[r, "\tilde{\sigma}"] & A \end{tikzcd}$$

i.e., σ extends to an isomorphism $\tilde{\sigma}$ of A fixing F.

Since σ acts trivially on $H^1(F, \mathcal{O}_F)$, $\tilde{\sigma}$ acts trivially on $H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A)$, so it is nothing but a translation t_a for some $a \in A$. Since F is a theta divisor, the morphism $\phi_F : A \to Pic^0(A)$ via $a' \mapsto t_{a'}^*F - F$ is an isomorphism. Then since $\tilde{\sigma}$ fixes F, we have $t_a^*F = F$, thus $a = 0$, this means σ is identity. □

References

[BLNP] M.A. Barja, M. Lahoz, J.C. Naranjo and G. Pareschi, On the bicanonical map of irregular varieties, J. Algebraic Geom. 21 (2012), 445-471.

[Beau] Beauville, A.: L'inegalites $p_g \geq 2q - 4$ pour les surfaces de type general. Bull. Soc. Math. France 110, 343C346 (1982). Appendix to: Inegalites numeriques pour les surfaces de type general.

[Ca] F. Catanese, Moduli and classification of irregular Kahler manifolds (and algebraic varieties) with Albanese general type, Inv. math. 104 (1991), 263 -289.

[CCM] F. Catanese, C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopses, On the classification of irregular surfaces of general type with nonbirational bicanonical map, Tran. A. M. S. 350 (1998), no. 1, 275-308.

[CFM] C. Ciliberto, P. Francia, M. Mendes Lopes, Remarks on the bicanonical map for surfaces of general type, Math.Z. 224 (1997), 137-166.

[CH1] J.A. Chen, C.D. Hacon, On the irregularity of the image of the Iitaka fibration. Commun. in Alg. 32, (2004), 203-215.

[CH2] J.A. Chen, C.D. Hacon, Pluricanonical systems on irregular 3-folds of general type, Math. Z., 255 (2007), no. 2, 343-355.

[CLZ] J. Cai, W. Liu, L. Zhang, Automorphisms of surfaces of general type with $q \geq 2$ acting trivially in cohomology, http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.6447.

[CM] C. Ciliberto, M. Mendes Lopes, On surfaces with $p_g = q = 2$ and non-birational bicanonical map, Adv. Geom. 2 (2002), 281-300.

[EL] L. Ein, R. Lazarsfeld, Singularities of theta divisors, and birational geometry of irregular varieties, Jour. AMS 10 (1997), no. 1, 243-258.

[GL1] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld, Deformation theory, Generic vanishing theorems and some conjectures of Enriques, Catanese and Beauville, Inv. math. 90, 389-407 (1987)

[GL2] M. Green, R. Lazarsfeld, Higher obstruction to deformation of cohomology of line bundles, Journ. A. M. S. Vol. 4 No. 1 (1991)

[Ha] C. D. Hacon, A derived approach to generic vanishing, J. Rei. Ang. Math., 575 (2004), 173-187.

[JMT] Z. Jiang, M. Lahoz, S. Tirabassi, On the Iitaka fibration of varieties of maximal Albanese dimension, preprint.

[Lah] M. Lahoz, Generic vanishing index and the birationality of the bicanonical map of irregular varieties, to appear in Math. Z.

[Lan] S. Lang, Abelian varieties, Interscience Wiley, New York, 1959.

[Mu] S. Mukai, Duality between $D(X)$ and $D(\hat{X})$ with its application to Picard sheaves, Nagoya Math. J. 81 (1981), 153-175.

[PP] G. Pareschi and M. Popa, Regularity on abelian varieties III: relationship with Generic Vanishing and applications, Clay. Math. Proc., 2006, 141-168.

[Le] M. Levine, Deformation of irregular threefolds, Lect. Notes in Math. 947, Springer (1982) 269-286.

[Ti] S. Tirabassi, Ph.D. thesis, Universit $\grave{a}$ degli studi Roma TRE (http://arxiv.org/abs/1210.0324).

[Zh] L. Zhang, A note on the linear systems on the projective bundles over Abelian varieties, to appear in Proc. A. M. S. (http://arxiv.org/abs/1209.2939).

Lei Zhang, College of Mathematics and information Sciences, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, P.R.China

E-mail address: `lzhpkutju@gmail.com`